

## Flathead County

Planning & Zoning
1035 1st Ave W, Kalispell, MT 59901 Telephone 406.751.8200 Fax 406.751.8210

## PETITION FOR ZONING AMENDMENT

Submit this application, all required information, and appropriate fee (see current fee schedule) to the Planning & Zoning office at the address listed above.

APPLICANT/OWNER:	FEE ATTACHED \$
1. Name: John Venteicher  2. Mail Address: 50 Evenson Un.  3. City/State/Zip: Bisfork MT. 59911  4. Interest in property: Gwner  Check which applies: Map Amendment	
	To leave management.
TECHNICAL/PROFESSIONAL PARTICIPANTS:	JAN 1 1 2011
Name:	
Mailing Address:	Pinone.
City, State, Zip:	
Email:	
A. What is the proposed zoning text/map am  SAG-10 to SAG-5 on balance	
Evenson Subdivision, Lot	2
IF THE REQUEST PERTAINS TO AN AMENDME COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:	
A. Address of the property: 50 Evenso.	n Ln. Bigfork MI 59911
B. Legal Description: Evenson Sub	-Lot 22
	bdivision or Tract #)
20 - T27N-R19W	
	r metes and bounds)
C. Total acreage:	
D. Zoning District: Big fork	
E. The <u>present</u> zoning of the above property is	s: Mixeo.
F. The proposed zoning of the above property	

G.	State the changed or changing conditions that make the proposed amendment
	necessary: This change will clean up some unusual boundary
	Lines That Split this property
(DYXI)	
REVI EACI	FOLLOWING ARE THE CRITERIA BY WHICH ZONING AMENDMENTS ARE IEWED. PLEASE PROVIDE A RESPONSE AND DETAILED EXPLANATION FOR H CRITERION FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE PLANNING STAFF, PLANNING RD, AND COMMISSIONERS.
1.	Is the proposed amendment in accordance with the Growth
	Policy/Neighborhood Plan? Yes
2.	Is the proposed amendment designed to:
a. Secure safety from fire and other dangers?	
b. Promote public health, public safety and the general welfare?	
c. Facilitate the adequate provision of transportation, water, sewerage,	
	schools, parks and other public requirements?
3.	Does the proposed amendment consider:
a. The reasonable provision of adequate light and air?	
b. The effect on motorized and non-motorized transportation systems?	
c. Compatible urban growth in the vicinity of cities and towns that at a	
minimum must include the areas around municipalities?	
	d. The character of the district and its peculiar suitability for particular uses?
e. Conserving the value of buildings and encouraging the most appropriate	
	use of land throughout the jurisdictional area?
4.	Is the proposed amendment, as nearly as possible, compatible with the zoning
	ordinances of nearby municipalities?
* * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
The sig to be p	gning of this application signifies approval for the Flathead County Planning & Zoning staff present on the property for routine monitoring and inspection during approval process.
(1)	12-29-10
Owne:	r/Applicant Signature(s)  Date
	Arneth linkrots 1102 1 1 NYP
-	1001

- A. If this change goes through, it's more likely that more of this land would get thinned out of brush and small trees reducing fire danger.
- B. Removing fire danger would certainly improve safety.
- C. If this property were ever to be divided the increased revenue would help our schools.

3.

- A. If the zoning were to change, the potential for this property to get developed would increase and if that were to happen I think air quality could improve due thinning.
- B. This change would have very little effect on motorized and nonmotorized transportation because there would be very little change in that regard.
- C. This change would allow a very small amount of growth in an area that has very controlled growth already.
- D. This change could improve the district as a tax revenue base and allow a small amount of growth for this community.
- E. This change to my land would have very little effect on the neiborhood because it is a private tract.
- 4. This zone change would be very compatible with the nearby municipalities.

JAN 1 1 2011

